

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Alexander SCHNELL *et al.*

Art Unit: 1793

Application No.: 10/597,010

Examiner: Mekhlin, Eli S.

Filing Date: 20 April 2007

Attorney Ref. No.: 003-239

For: Braze Alloy and Use of a Braze Alloy

Confirmation No. 4697

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111**

**Mail Stop AMENDMENT**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated 12 April 2010, which set a three-month shortened statutory period of response thereto, favorable reconsideration, reexamination, and allowance of this patent application are respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

**Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)**

In the Office Action, beginning at page 2, all of the pending claims were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as detailed in the table below:

Claims	Document(s)	Pages of Office Action
1, 2, and 5	<i>Budinger</i>	2-4
6 and 10	<i>Budinger</i> in view of <i>Stern</i>	4-5
7, 8, and 11	<i>Budinger</i> , in view of <i>Stern</i> and <i>Schaefer</i>	5-6
9	<i>Budinger</i> , <i>Stern</i> , and <i>Schaefer</i> in view of <i>Van Esch</i> and <i>Rafferty</i>	7
1, 2, and 5	<i>Budinger</i> in view of <i>Schnell</i>	7-9
6 and 10	<i>Budinger</i> and <i>Schnell</i> , in view of <i>Stern</i>	9-10
7, 8, and 11	<i>Budinger</i> and <i>Schnell</i> , in view of <i>Stern</i> and <i>Schaefer</i>	10-11
9	<i>Budinger</i> , <i>Schnell</i> , <i>Stern</i> and <i>Schaefer</i> , in view of <i>Van Esch</i> and <i>Rafferty</i>	12